CSI Cairo- Forensic Unit Project Case Summary

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Excellent (4 pts) | Good (3 pts) | Partial (2 pts) | Needs Work (1 pt) |
| Opening Paragraph | Opens the project with a clear/concise introduction to the case  Does not state specific data/evidence | Overall summary of the report | Too little information given about the summary | Missing, Disorganized or does not introduce the topic at hand |
| Evidence Piece #1 – Ink | Summarizes clearly the outcome of your results – using chromatography vocabulary   * How did it affect the 36 suspect list? * Where were you after this piece of information? | Generally summarizes your lab and the information gained from it- uses some vocabulary  Does not thoroughly explain the suspects included/excluded after this evidence | Too little information about the evidence and how it left the suspects after the lab | Missing or incomplete, lacking details about the suspects included or excluded |
| Evidence Piece #2- Tire Tracks | Summarizes clearly the outcome of your results – using print vocabulary   * How did it affect the 36 suspect list? * Where were you after this piece of information? | Generally summarizes your lab and the information gained from it- uses some vocabulary  \*Does not thoroughly explain the suspects included/excluded after this evidence | Too little information about the evidence and how it left the suspects after the lab | Missing or incomplete, lacking details about the suspects included or excluded |
| Evidence Piece #3 – Blood Typing | Summarizes clearly the outcome of your results – using blood typing vocabulary   * How did it affect the 36 suspect list? * Where were you after this piece of information? | Generally summarizes your lab and the information gained from it- uses some vocabulary  \*Does not thoroughly explain the suspects included/excluded after this evidence | Too little information about the evidence and how it left the suspects after the lab | Missing or incomplete, lacking details about the suspects included or excluded |
| Overall Summary – Who did it? Why? | Ending paragraph summarizes who you accuse, reasoning is clear | Short ending summary paragraph, with some reasoning to follow | Ending summary included but missing logical reasoning, incomplete summary | No final ending or summary reasoning included |
| Alternative Explanations | Clearly states other theories, explanation for the missing masterpiece | Some thought about at least one alternative explanation | One short alternative explanation mentioned | Missing an alternative explanation or theory |
| Overall Presentation/Mechanics | Perfect mechanics  Well organized and typed  Included use of photos/illustrations/headings | One or two errors in mechanics  Typed and mostly clear  Legible | Some errors both in spelling and grammar  Legible but no accompanying illustrations | Many errors in both spelling and mechanics  Hard to follow, illogical order, no illustrations |